# **Fitch**Ratings

# RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

# Fitch Removes Fortum's **Ratings from Watch Negative**; Affirms at 'BBB' with a Negative Outlook

Mon 06 Apr, 2020 - 3:13 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - Milan - 06 Apr 2020: Fitch Ratings has removed Fortum Oyj's ratings from Rating Watch Negative (RWN) where they were placed in October 2019. Fitch has also affirmed the Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB' with a Negative Outlook and senior unsecured rating at 'BBB'.

The Negative Outlook mainly reflects the execution risk embedded in the company's deleveraging plans after it acquired a 19.6% stake in Uniper SE and the resulting full consolidation of the German utility. According to our expectations, this will lead FFO net leverage to spike to 3.3x in 2020, while market conditions will affect the deleverage pattern mainly through low power prices.

The rating affirmation mainly reflects the company's profitable hydro and nuclear assets, its strong competitive positioning in the combined group's key countries, and the management's commitment to the current rating (partially confirmed by already realized disposals). The affirmation also reflects the largely unregulated profile of

the combined group's business, its sizeable coal exposure and fairly high starting leverage.

We have tightened Fortum's leverage guidelines by 0.2x, only to mirror the reduction in the company's leverage deriving from Fitch's change in the approach towards lease transactions (now fully considered as opex with no capitalisation, compared with the previous capitalisation of the rent through a multiple).

#### **KEY RATING DRIVERS**

Rating Approach: Fortum now owns 69.6% of Uniper, up from 49.99% before the transaction closed. This may rise by an additional 1.0%- 3.8% in a couple of months, bringing the total amount invested in Uniper up to a maximum EUR6.5 billion. Fortum now fully consolidates Uniper in its accounts and we keep the same approach for rating purposes, due to Uniper's strategic importance for the company and our opinion that Fortum soon will be adequately represented on Uniper's supervisory board, allowing it to determine its strategy.

Fortum has ruled out any domination and/or profit-and-loss transfer agreement or squeeze-out of minority shareholders until end-2021, and we assume no cash-out in this respect throughout the rating horizon. A sizeable increase of the stake held in Uniper would be credit-negative for Fortum.

Combined Business Profile: Fitch views Uniper's standalone business profile as riskier than Fortum's, due to its higher proportion of thermal capacity and exposure to CO2 prices, challenges related to coal generation (including decommissioning) and the volatile gas midstream and commodity trading business. The combined installed capacity of the group is about 50GW, of which thermal sources represent about 70%. The share of coal in total generation, currently negligible for Fortum, will represent around 12% in the combined group.

The enlarged group has very strong market positioning, in particular in Germany (second-ranked), Nordics (second) and Russia (third), with a sizeable presence also in the UK and Benelux. The group has the third-largest generation fleet in Europe, with a sizeable gas fleet, which we believe will be important in the European energy transition.

Focus on Generation: Both Uniper's and Fortum's EBITDA (as reported to investors) for 2019 was EUR1.5 billion-EUR1.8 billion, so they have a broadly similar weight in the assessment of the combined business risk profile. Uniper's generation business is much more exposed to thermal sources than Fortum's, a factor which is mitigated by some long-term contracts in Germany. The business in Russia, which contributes about EUR800 million EBITDA based on our estimates, has a similar fundamental risk for both companies, with margins derived mainly from capacity-supply agreements.

Uniper's commodity trading creates earnings volatility and Fitch does not assign any debt capacity to this business at investment-grade level. Electricity generation accounts for around 75% of the combined group's EBITDA, with the rest related to supply-and-trading and city solutions (mainly district heating and waste). We estimate a share of 30%-35% of contracted and incentivised EBITDA for the group.

ESG Influence: We updated the Energy and Fuel Management score to '4', owing a high amount of coal in the generation mix - due to the Uniper acquisition - and this leads to higher exposure to ETS price and commodities. This is one of the factors that led to a moderate tightening of the guidelines for the combined group (negative sensitivity at 3.2x, from 3.8x for Fortum standalone). We are now updating the guidelines to 3.0x, but only to reflect the change in our approach to leases; therefore there is no impact on the company's headroom.

Deleveraging Capacity: We expect Fortum's funds from operations (FFO) net leverage to peak at 3.3x in 2020, due to the Uniper stake acquisition, partially compensated by the cash-in of EUR780 million related to the sale of a district heating network in Finland (already closed) and Nordics wind business (agreed but not closed). We expect the company to focus on deleveraging, and in our rating case we assume that Fortum will sell the assets under strategic review (district heating networks in Baltics, Poland, and Jarvenpaa (Finland)), with leverage returning below our negative sensitivity of 3.0x in 2022.

Weak Price Environment: Our rating case takes into account recent electricity demand and price turmoil. This volatility in particular will hit price-taker technologies, such as hydro and nuclear, which are the largest producers for Fortum. This is mitigated by hedging transactions, covering 75% of 2020 output for Fortum and 75%-100% for Uniper; this decreases respectively to 40% and 15%-45% for 2021.

We believe the current scenario increases downside risks to our rating case, potentially through pressure on working capital and delays in concluding the strategic review of district heating networks. Our rating case does not envisage any major problem in operating the asset base. The management has flexibility to counterbalance the impact of a particularly harsh market, for example through growth- capex postponement (we include an average of EUR1.1 billion capex in 2020-2022), the sale of additional assets, cost-cutting, and dividends revision.

Growth Plans: Fortum's growth focuses mainly on renewables (around EUR200 million expected in 2020); the business model foresees the development of assets and the retention of a minority stake on-balance sheet, to take advantage of the company's technical know-how while limiting capital deployment. Uniper's plan foresees growth capex of more than EUR1.5 billion cumulatively in 2020-2022, related to some legacy projects (including the Datteln 4 coal-fired plant in Germany), the modernisation of the Russian fleet, and the upgrade of some thermal plants in Germany, among others.

Solid 2019 Performance: Both companies posted a good performance in 2019. Fortum's EBITDA rose by 16% yoy to EUR1.77 billion, mainly on higher power prices, with double-digit growth also at the FFO level. Working capital generated cash of more than EUR300 million and the company posted a positive free cash flow (FCF) of more than EUR200 million. FFO net leverage was 2.8x. Uniper posted broadly flat yoy EBITDA at EUR1.56 billion, with stable results across all divisions. FCF was broadly neutral, and at year-end 2019 the company had net cash of about EUR300 million, based on Fitch's definition.

No GRE Impact: Fortum is 50.8%-owned by Finland (AA+/Stable). We assess all factors under our Government-Related Entities (GRE) Ratings Criteria as 'weak' or 'moderate', resulting in no uplift to Fortum's ratings.

# **DERIVATION SUMMARY**

Compared with the wider top European utilities peer group, Fortum's share of regulated and contracted income is among the lowest. Fortum's good-quality fleet of mainly hydro and nuclear plants will weaken as a consequence of Uniper's large thermal exposure. Among Nordic peers, Statkraft AS (BBB+/Stable) benefits from its long-term contracts and low-cost hydro asset base as well as a one-notch uplift for sovereign support. EDP - Energias de Portugal S.A. (BBB-/Positive) has a higher debt

capacity due to a more defensive business mix, with networks and contracted renewables making up most activity, partially offset by a mix of weaker countries. EDP's lower rating is entirely due to higher leverage (on adjusted FFO basis 4.5x on average versus 3.2x for Fortum).

# **KEY ASSUMPTIONS**

Fitch's Key Assumptions Within Our Rating Case for the Issuer

- EBITDA (excluding IFRS 16 impact) of the new group in the range of EUR2.6 billion-EUR2.7 billion in 2020-2023
- Annual capex at around EUR1.1 billion on average in 2020-2022
- Disposal of assets currently under strategic review and other non-core assets
- Dividends distributed by Fortum at around EUR1 billion a year
- Minority dividends related to Uniper of around EUR150 million-EUR300 million

# **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

Stabilisation of the Outlook - We would affirm the rating if the company is on track to achieve FFO net leverage below 3.0x on a sustained basis, FFO interest coverage above 5.0x on a sustained basis, and in the absence of significant deterioration of the political, economic or regulatory environment in Russia or significant structural decline in Nord Pool power prices

Upgrade - Rating upside is limited due to risks related to the integration of the Uniper business and the incremental debt related to the acquisition. However we could upgrade the rating with FFO net leverage lower than 1.5x and FFO interest coverage above 6.0x, all on a sustained basis

Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

- FFO net leverage above 3.0x on a sustained basis, FFO interest coverage cover below 5.0x on a sustained basis
- Significant deterioration of the political, economic or regulatory environment in Russia or significant structural decline in Nord Pool power prices
- Problems with Uniper's integration leading to a change of our view of a consolidated perimeter for the ratings and / or change in debt capacity

# **BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO**

Ratings of non-financial corporate issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of bestand worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

# LIQUIDITY AND DEBT STRUCTURE

Adequate Liquidity: Fortum's reported cash and cash equivalents was EUR1.4 billion at end-December 2019, and with committed undrawn credit lines of around EUR4.6 billion (EUR2.8 billion maturing in October 2021 with a one-year extension option and EUR1.8 billion maturing in June 2023), total available liquidity was EUR6 billion. This compares with short-term maturities of EUR478 million at end-December 2019. Fortum funded the Uniper transaction, closed on 26 March 2020, through cash and additional credit facilities. Uniper's liquid funds at end-December 2019 amounted to around EUR890 million. Combined with a EUR1.8 billion available loan

facility (maturing in 2023), Uniper's liquidity is sufficient to cover short-term liabilities of around EUR200 million (excluding lease liabilities).

# REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER **OF RATING**

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

# **ESG CONSIDERATIONS**

Fortum Oyj has an ESG Relevance Score of 4 for Energy Management due to increased share of coal-fired power generation subject to ETS price and commodities, which has a negative impact on the credit profile, and is relevant to the ratings in conjunction with other factors.

Except for the matters discussed above, the highest level of ESG credit relevance, if present, is a score of 3. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity(ies), either due to their nature or to the way in which they are being managed by the entity(ies). For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg.

# **RATING ACTIONS**

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING		
Fortum Oyj	LT IDR	BBB	Affirmed
	ST IDR	F2	Affirmed
<ul><li>senior</li><li>unsecured</li></ul>	LT	ВВВ	Affirmed

#### **VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS**

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

#### APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Corporates Notching and Recovery Ratings Criteria (pub. 14 Oct 2019) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

Government-Related Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 13 Nov 2019)

Short-Term Ratings Criteria (pub. 06 Mar 2020)

Corporate Rating Criteria (pub. 27 Mar 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

# **APPLICABLE MODELS**

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v7.9.0 (1)

# ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

**Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form** 

Solicitation Status

**Endorsement Policy** 

#### **ENDORSEMENT STATUS**

Fortum Oyj **EU** Issued

# **DISCLAIMER**

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY **FOLLOWING THIS LINK:** 

HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING

https://www.fitchratings.com/site/dam/jcr:6b03c4cd-611d-47ec-b8f1-

183c01b51b08/Rating%20Definitions%20-%203%20May%202019%20v3%206-

11-19.pdf DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE

AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT.

PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE

FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT. CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

#### **READ LESS**

#### **COPYRIGHT**

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other

reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch

research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

#### **READ LESS**

# **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

# **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

Corporate Finance **Utilities and Power** Europe Finland

